

after the vehicle has been run long enough to allow the testing of all supported modules to be completed. If Data B, Data C, and Data D again indicate that the vehicle should be rejected when it returns, the vehicle shall be failed.

(4) If Data B, Data C, and Data D indicate that the vehicle's on-board diagnostic evaluation is complete, the test system shall determine the status of the MIL illumination bit and record status information in the vehicle test record.

(i) If the malfunction indicator light bit is commanded to be illuminated and any of the codes listed at 40 CFR 85.2207(c) are present, the test system shall retrieve and record the codes in the vehicle test record. The vehicle shall fail the on-board diagnostic inspection.

(ii) If the malfunction indicator light bit is not commanded to be illuminated and any of the codes listed at 40 CFR 85.2207(c) are present, the test system shall retrieve and record the codes in the vehicle test record. The vehicle shall pass the on-board diagnostic inspection.

(iii) If the malfunction indicator light bit is commanded to be illuminated, the inspector shall inspect the MIL to determine if it is illuminated. The status of the MIL shall be recorded in the vehicle test record. If the MIL is commanded to be illuminated but is not, the vehicle shall fail the on-board diagnostic inspection.

(5) The motorist shall be provided with the on-board diagnostic test results, including the codes retrieved, the status of the MIL illumination command, and the pass or fail result.

14. A new § 85.2231 is proposed to be added to subpart W to read as follows:

§ 85.2231 On-board diagnostic test equipment requirements.

(a) The test system interface to the vehicle shall include a plug that conforms to SAE J1962 "Diagnostic Connector."

(b) The test system shall meet all vehicle electrical/electronic compatibility requirements for "OBD II Scan Tools" as specified in SAE J1978 and J2201, including the length of the electrical cable between the vehicle and the test system.

(c) The test system shall be capable of performing all communication functions as specified in SAE J1978, J1979, and J2205. Specifically, the system shall be capable of checking for the systems supported by the on-board diagnostic system and the evaluation status of supported systems (test complete/test not complete) in Mode \$01 PID \$01, as well as be able to request the codes, as specified in SAE J1979. In addition, the

system shall have the capability to include bi-directional communication, when such features are available, and allow for non-intrusive pressure and purge checks. Copies of all of the SAE documents cited above may be obtained from the Society of Automotive Engineers, Inc., 400 Commonwealth Drive, Warrendale, PA 15096-0001.

(d) The test system shall automatically make a pass, fail, or reject decision, as specified in the test procedure in 40 CFR 85.2223(a).

[FR Doc. 95-20539 Filed 8-17-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

40 CFR Part 52

[PA62-1-7023b; FRL-5272-5]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Pennsylvania; Allegheny County: USX Clairton Works

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA proposes to approve a State implementation plan (SIP) revision submitted by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. This revision requires the availability and maintenance of certain air pollution control equipment at the USX Corporation's Clairton Works in Allegheny County, Pennsylvania. In the Final Rules section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the Commonwealth's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial SIP revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. **DATES:** Comments must be received in writing by September 18, 1995. **ADDRESSES:** Comments may be mailed to Marcia L. Spink, Associate Director, Air Programs, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 841 Chestnut Building, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107. Copies of the documents relevant to this action are

available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Air, Radiation, and Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 841 Chestnut Building, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107; the Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460; and, Allegheny County Health Department, Bureau of Environmental Quality, Division of Air Quality, 301 39th Street, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15201.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David J. Campbell, Technical Assessment Section (3AT22), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 841 Chestnut Building, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107, phone: 215 597-9781.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: See the information provided in the Direct Final action of the same title, "Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Pennsylvania; Allegheny County: USX Clairton Works", which is located in the Rules and Regulations Section of this **Federal Register**.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur Oxides.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: July 25, 1995.

W. Michael McCabe,

Regional Administrator, Region III.

[FR Doc. 95-20485 Filed 8-17-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

40 CFR Part 52

[IN48-1-6761b; FRL-5279-2]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plan; Indiana

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The USEPA proposes to approve the State implementation plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of Indiana for its Federally Enforceable State Operating Permits (FESOP) regulation and an Enhanced New Source Review (NSR) regulation. The USEPA made a finding of completeness in a letter dated November 25, 1994. The USEPA proposes to approve Indiana's FESOP regulation as an acceptable mechanism for establishing federally enforceable State operating permits for the purpose of creating

federally enforceable limitations on the potential to emit of certain pollutants regulated under the Clean Air Act. The USEPA proposes to approve Indiana's Enhanced NSR regulation as an acceptable mechanism to merge requirements of NSR and title V into one permitting process. Sources subject to the State construction permit rule will have the opportunity to satisfy its operating permit requirements by opting into this preconstruction rule. In the final rules section of this **Federal Register**, the USEPA is approving these actions as a direct final rule without prior proposal because USEPA views these as noncontroversial actions and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to that direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If USEPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on the proposed rule. The USEPA will not institute a second comment period on this notice. Any parties interested in commenting on this notice should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments on this proposed rule must be received on or before September 18, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be mailed to: J. Elmer Bortzer, Chief, Regulatory Development Section, Regulatory Development Branch (AR-18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

Copies of the State submittal and USEPA's analysis of it are available for inspection at: Regulatory Development Section, Regulatory Development Branch (AR-18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sam Portanova, Environmental Engineer, Permits and Grants Section, Regulatory Development Branch (AR-18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886-3189.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information see the direct final rule published in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: July 20, 1995.

Valdas V. Adamkus,
Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 95-20483 Filed 8-17-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

40 CFR Part 52

[CA 146-1-7134b; FRL-5272-3]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revision, San Joaquin Valley Nonattainment Area, Transportation Control Measure Replacement

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP) for ozone which concern a transportation control measure (TCM) to be implemented in the San Joaquin Valley ozone nonattainment area.

The intended effect of proposing approval of this SIP revision is to control emissions of ozone precursors and carbon monoxide in accordance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). In the Final Rules section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the state's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this action as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for this approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments on this proposed rule must be received in writing by September 18, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on this action should be addressed to: Deborah Schechter, Mobile Source Section (A-2-1), Air and Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105.

Copies of the SIP revision and EPA's evaluation of the SIP are available for public inspection at EPA's Region 9 office during normal business hours. Copies of the submitted SIP revision are also available for inspection at the following locations:

California Air Resources Board, 2020 "L" Street, Sacramento, CA 92123

San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District, 1999 Tuolomne Street, Suite #200, Fresno, CA 93721

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Deborah Schechter, Mobile Source Section, A-2-1, Air and Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105, Telephone: (415) 744-1227.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This document concerns a revision to the California SIP to implement the "Railroad Grade Separations" TCM in the San Joaquin Valley ozone nonattainment area which replaces a TCM that was never implemented from the 1982 California ozone and CO SIP for San Joaquin County. Because the design of the "Railroad Grade Separations" project is nearly complete, because the funding will be available and has been committed by the required agencies, and because the State submitted a fully approvable SIP revision, the EPA has decided to take direct final action approving the submittal in to the California SIP. For further details, please see the information provided in the direct final action which is located in the Rules Section of this **Federal Register**.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: July 26, 1995.

Jeff Zelikson,

Acting Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 95-20448 Filed 8-17-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

40 CFR Part 52

[LA-22-1-6870; FRL-5280-9]

Approval and Promulgation of Section 182(f) Exemption to the Nitrogen Oxides (NO_x) Control Requirements for the Baton Rouge Ozone Nonattainment Area; Louisiana

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The EPA proposes to approve a petition from the State of Louisiana requesting that the Baton Rouge ozone nonattainment area be exempt from NO_x control requirements of section 182(f) of the Clean Air Act (CAA) as amended in 1990. The State of Louisiana bases its request for Baton Rouge upon a demonstration that additional NO_x reductions would not contribute to ozone attainment in the nonattainment area.